



## Obama & PLO Khalidi 2003 ~~yy~~ LA Times Release The Videotape

Toddy Littman, Wednesday 19 September 2012 - 19:47:15

Since the leftist media is making the story September 18, 2012 about what Romney said in an off-the-cuff moment at some fundraiser, which was actually the most conservative I've heard him, as well as the most honest, then it would seem it's time to call on the President of the United States to demand that the Los Angeles Times release the video that was given to them in 2008. In fact, the LA Times is accused of ~~yy~~suppressing~~yy~~ the video by the McCain campaign on October 29th, 2008. A major news organization is intentionally suppressing information that could provide a clearer link between Barack Obama and Rashid Khalidi," said McCain campaign spokesman Michael Goldfarb~~yy~~ The election is one week away, and it's unfortunate that the press so obviously favors Barack Obama that this campaign must publicly request that the Los Angeles Times do its job -- make information public." And then argues that they essentially broke the story: "The Los Angeles Times did not publish the videotape because it was provided to us by a confidential source who did so on the condition that we not release it," said the newspaper's editor, Russ Stanton. "The Times keeps its promises to sources." Of course the comments of some person designated the ~~yy~~Readers' Representative~~yy~~ that it appears also works for the LA Times, was piled on: Jamie Gold, the newspaper's readers' representative, said in a statement: "More than six months ago the Los Angeles Times published a detailed account of the events shown on the videotape. The Times is not suppressing anything. Just the opposite -- the L.A. Times brought the matter to light." All of this is here in this LA Times (biased) article about the whole situation, <http://www.latimes.com/news/politics/la-na-video29-2008oct29.0,7568849.story>. Ask yourself if ~~yy~~a detailed account of the events shown on the videotape~~yy~~ is the same as seeing the people present there, to see Barack Obama present and to hear the actual direct comments from the horse's mouth. Then ask yourself if that readers' representative is representing the readers' view. And of course, there is more about this at a variety of blogs. This one features a number of excerpts from the LA Times ~~yy~~detailed account~~yy~~. Using another blog as a guide (that didn't cite the article with 100% accuracy, <http://israelmatzav.blogspot.com/2008/10/la-times-has-video-of-obama-attending.html>), I'll share the portions they noted word for word, such as this ~~yy~~poetry reading~~yy~~ that Barack Obama sat through~~yy~~ at Khalidi's 2003 farewell party, for example, a young Palestinian American recited a poem accusing the Israeli government of terrorism in its treatment of Palestinians and sharply criticizing U.S. support of Israel. If Palestinians cannot secure their own land, she said, "then you will never see a day of peace."~~yy~~ One speaker likened "Zionist settlers on the West Bank" to Osama bin Laden, saying both had been "blinded by ideology."~~yy~~ -- <http://articles.latimes.com/2008/apr/10/nation/na-obamamideast10> And then there is this statement that, in light of the current situation in the Middle East, appears almost prophetic:~~yy~~ It is many talks with the Khalidis, Obama said, had been "consistent reminders to me of my own blind spots and my own biases. . . . It's for that reason that I'm hoping that, for many years to come, we continue that conversation -- a conversation that is necessary not just around Mona and Rashid's dinner table," but around "this entire world."~~yy~~ -- Ibid. I hope you can appreciate that President Obama is, as President of the United States, the head of the Democratic Party. Thus, the changes in the platform, such as removing recognition of Jerusalem as the Capital of Israel, were, it appears, known, and maybe even initiated, by President Obama. His supposed request to have it reinstated at the convention.... A programmed spin on the situation perhaps? Considering the state anti-Americanism today, you be the judge. Could this type of failure to exercise the Freedom of The Press, by failing to publish the video itself, be a clearer explanation of media bias? I think not. But... The point of this article is something far less sinister, and more to point to the very manipulations being done by the White House regarding the Mitt Romney video excerpt. **For the first time I actually heard a conservative Mitt Romney by what he said in this video clip, a true Tea Party conservative who seems to have come to a greater, more Federalist Papers view, of Our Written Constitution.** This, my friends is the scariest thing the Obama Campaign could have nightmares over, that ~~yy~~Massachusetts Mitt~~yy~~ would have left his Saul Alinsky student father's Progressive teachings, and come to the light of reality, appreciating, comprehending, and embracing conservative principles. So,



naturally, Obama's people pounce on this video, bringing forward how careful you have to be of what you say, blah blah blah.... While to this day the Los Angeles Times hasn't released this 2003 video of President Obama Toasting Rashid Khalidi, a former and known PLO spokesman, who, it appears, was also a good friend of Bill Ayers and Bernadine Dohrn. Now, sure conservatives had their say about it. Rush Limbaugh's take, Gateway Pundit show the hypocrisy in relation to Sarah Palin, Debbie Schussel sets forth that a "beheading dance" occurred at the event as well. And I fault nor argue against any of these, in fact believing that, without the LA Times releasing the Obama/Khalidi video and especially at Obama's insistence for the sake of transparency, that there is a bias shown that denies us ever knowing the truth about what happened there, a bias imposed from the top at the Los Angeles Times. But the bigger picture here is that Obama and his campaign are criticizing directly and by innuendo what Mitt Romney said behind closed doors that happened to be videotaped, while, in perfect Obama hypocrite fashion, continuing to keep the Obama/Khalidi 2003 video, that also was behind closed doors and happened to be videotaped, from being released to the public. In the Obama narrative by example, this is Obama's idea, his vision, of "fairness." The unconstitutional media bias in his favor, Obama exploits videos of his opponent while knowing full well his friends in the Liberal Progressive Media that Media Matters isn't caring about, will not release his "reality TV" from 2003 that could have changed the entire election. The LA Times is just another "news" organization that hides behind the First Amendment while actually acting in a manner inconsistent with their corporate charter that established them as a news organization, to be treated with certain exemptions and privileges in accordance with the idea of the First Amendment. To me, a suit against the Secretary of State where the LA Times is headquartered, mandating that their charter be revoked until such time as they act consistent with being a member of the "free press," for a period of not less than 2 years, is in order. And they can start by releasing the 2003 video tape so We The People who are their customers can determine for ourselves if Peter Wallsten's LA Times article cited above is "a detailed account of the events shown on the videotape," or if Mr. Wallsten took some editorial license, likely by omission. Thank you for reading, Toddy Littman P.S. There is more to this but I have left much out for the sake of brevity.