



Romney Lost -- I Told You So!

Toddy Littman, Thursday 08 November 2012 - 21:36:41

It took me a day to get to a point of writing this. You see, I was this voice in the wilderness during the primaries, often beaten down by republicans and so-called conservatives (much like Stacey Dash was beaten down by democrats for supporting Romney), for saying that Romney couldn't win. The reason is that difference must be shown, an absolute contrast seen for a choice to be made, and Mitt Romney doesn't offer that especially on the issue of trust. Take what Romney said in 2002 when running in Massachusetts:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dMcyjEXt9To&feature=youtu.be> This is pretty big, and let's pretend I was a republican and not a Conservative, for, to be sure, all those republicans (the so-called conservatives I mentioned above), did not find this video to be enough, or viewed the video as why Romney can work with democrats, can be a truly bipartisan President. For me, it was a statement that he's unable to be a Conservative, and true Conservatives only began to look at Romney due to the absolute 110% accuracy of his 47% comment, which was made far into the campaign. It was impressive to us for a man to be that accurate thinking on his feet in a high pressure situation before those he's attempting to get money from for his campaign, and was nowhere near as denigrating as Obama, during a fundraiser in his first campaign, when he said that people are clinging to their guns and religion in a derogatory, backwards manner. In any event, I wrote an article regarding how the National Black Chamber of Commerce who, during the 2008 primaries wrote an article asking if Romney is a racist, that is answered with more questions, then reversed their position during the 2012 primaries based on Romney's father, George Romney, being a Progressive, the man Mitt Romney campaigned for at age 15. Here is the opening to that article that, to this Conservative, says it all: I posed this question in an article written back in December 2007. I left it open ended. Lately, now that there is another presidential race going on interested people are starting to uncover this old article and make it contemporary. This is troubling to me so I guess I should put closure to the whole matter. First, let me answer the question: No, Mitt Romney is not a racist. As I researched history, over the years I have come to find that the opposite is the case.

The Romney Family has a legacy of pro-civil rights, progressive activism and an understanding of how poverty and inequality can hurt people. My article citing this and many other portions of the article from the National Black Chamber of Commerce, <http://changingwind.org/index/comment.php?comment.news.208>. Now, though I don't trust the mainstream media, there remains this little article from ABC News that explains something no Christian could ever vote for: Former Gov. Mitt Romney's wife, Ann, gave an \$150 donation to the abortion-rights group Planned Parenthood in 1994, at a time when Romney considered himself effectively "pro-choice," the Romney campaign confirmed today. <http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/story?id=3157749&page=1> And lastly, Reason Magazine, a Libertarian publication, had an article from 2007 that I will not quote here because the subject matter is sexual abuse of children, a subject that needs to be discussed very delicately, as it should never achieve any sort of promotion, referenced in the footnote of this article which was written long before the 3rd debate but accentuates, almost prophetically, Romney's positions that night, <http://changingwind.org/index/comment.php?comment.news.217>. Now that I've set forth my reasons I knew Romney couldn't win, even though Obama's people didn't even bring up this last point, here's the logistical issue: Bain had never been vetted during the primaries. While Republican after Republican argues against any talking about Bain, claiming it is an attack on Capitalism, especially when done by Newt Gingrich, and claiming also that this is expected from the left, to Progressively turn a knife in the stomach of the Conservative who wants a full disclosure, these same Republicans claim the primaries vet the candidates, and help them perfect their debating skills. Stupid Republicans turning Conservatism away from their platform; turning away the vetting of Romney; turning away from having a far right candidate because, apparently, they subscribe to appealing to the Left by being somewhat Leftist, by being Progressive, while at the same time claiming that the dependency that Progressivism promotes is



detrimental to the very people Progressives claim they are helping. Hypocrisies of these sorts are not something the People, and never the generals amongst the Progressives, will miss. But they won't bring it up to you, oh Stupid Republicans, they won't challenge this and help you learn of the mistake, and instead will work some outside angle that amplifies the meaning of this to those to whom they target their message. Please understand, oh Stupid Republicans, that by assuming an attack on Bain as an attack on Capitalism, Romney wasn't vetted, and this is an appearance of something to hide and exploitable, particularly when it also appears the party was out to hide it too. How better to amplify this effect than talk about how Romney isn't discussing his plans until after the election? And how much more effective is this with ads explaining how under Romney's running of Bain there were many people that lost jobs, that some of the companies went out of business, that Bain made promises they didn't keep, and, lastly, that Bain was responsible for the death of a man's wife? And if you're thinking, "he sounds like a Liberal with all this, these are all lies," I sure do, because this is the very strategy Obama used. You Stupid Republicans left Bain as a mystery for the Progressives to define, and they pounced on this with everything they had. This is a classic case of Soros's Reflexivity principle being used successfully during an election. The failure of addressing Bain during the primaries, and further, making it a subject not to be discussed throughout the campaign, is to leave Bain an undefined commodity. While Romney could nullify the negative ads against his character by merely showing up at the debate and not being the monster described, it remains that by not having ever discussed Bain, and Stupid Republicans always attacking, with party support, anyone that tries to question Bain, that Romney could not nullify Obama's Republican-enabled capacity to define Bain merely by posing the myriad unanswered questions. **Thus, it doesn't matter what's true of what Obama, or the PAC's supporting him, said because you Stupid Republicans handed Obama the authority to make the case of first impression of what Bain is,** and establish a persistent negative about Romney as the election outcome, even below McCain and Palin's performance, shows. Republicans seem to have applied the Union rule of Tenure and merit by time, assuming "it's Romney's turn," and immediately acted to silence all opposing views, I contend they even used Rick Santorum to accomplish this task, his sudden dropping out of the primaries handing Romney the nomination was rather suspicious, especially since the excuse used was something his daughter had done before. Now if this seems heartless, let it pass. It is heartless to place the lives and dreams of the American people in jeopardy for the sake of party, and exploit your daughter's illness in use as an excuse for party as well. Now maybe it was just that the Stupid Republicans were hoping that belief and Prayer would beat a Chicago originated and schooled career politician, who has an innate appeal to the growing population of what once were minorities, and, according to the "demographics" is elected solely because his skin color is closer to theirs. I blamed the people in 2008's loss because Obama accentuated redistribution of wealth, now he has a record of failure and willingness to encroach on State's rights as well as Individual Liberty, so I could blame the people again. But no, I blame every single Republican who parroted the talking point, "attacking Bain is attacking capitalism," making a mockery of the primary process for the sake of their party and not giving a damn about nominating someone for the sake of their country. These were the very same Republicans who said "get in line and vote for Romney" or attacked every effort to have a genuine discussion of who would be best to go against Barack Obama, and did all they could to silence and discourage, waging war with the very Conservatives they needed to have vote for the nominee if the Stupid Republicans wanted to beat Barack Obama, apparently oblivious to the fact our vote is no less important than theirs. It is interesting how they adopt collectivist union styled tactics when the true Conservatives see through their fake Conservative nominee whose government-run healthcare solution in Massachusetts is the very model for Obama's Affordable Care Act, Obamacare. Thank you Republicans for making sure the Conservatives in this nation suffer by your incompetence, blind belief, and failure to embrace the conservative movement that saved your party's hindquarters in 2010. To be clear, a Massachusetts Liberal with an R next to his name should never be President for they offer no real difference to the ruling party and President, and that means no real difference to the nation as a whole. A simple solution to the equation is arrived at by the voters in this situation: that whatever the people are suffering will persist anyway under the New Guy. The re-election of Socialist Barack Hussein Obama unequivocally demonstrates the application of this solution by the American People. And I made a decision that I think every Conservative, irrespective of party, has to arrive at, and I believe we need to make sure the Republican Party knows this decision is non-negotiable before all future elections: *If you put up a candidate who I cannot vet without being attacked, and who*



resembles their opponent so much that difference, and thereby my conservative values and voice, are lost, I will register as a Republican and vote for the opposing party candidate every single time as you've nullified my vote by the candidate you've chosen and want to force down my throat. Thus there is no difference in who I vote for, for neither of them represent me and I see no reason to change course and amplify false hope amongst the American people. Thus, Conservatism did not lose in the election of 2012, but Progressive-Light Round 2 (after McCain) lost with emphasis. Will the Republican party descend further into insanity and try this a third time in 2016? Let's hope not. Thank you for reading, Toddy Littman