



## Islam: Religion of Peace? Or Patronage In Ready for Jihad?

Toddy Littman, Thursday 26 August 2010 - 13:26:43

A question that surely the evidence suggests the latter is true, however, I am sure there is evidence to the contrary, yet, my issue is how this aligns with the acts and deeds of Barack Hussein Obama, on one hand, and the fact he was taught the Koran in Indonesia for almost 4 year, on the other. For me the correlation of action with childhood education during his formative years is extremely disconcerting, however, I may just be rightfully Islamophobic, rightfully in that, if this is true, then those who are feeding the machine of Islam are unwitting participants who may not react kindly to the test of Faith this places before them.

So, in keeping my word to FEDupFRANK at Song Of Truth, I present what I found in a mere matter of minutes, and note all emphasis below is mine.

Here is the web link I was given <http://muttaqun.com/zakat.html>, and here is some of the contents thereof, cited in similar fashion to a Catholic Canon regarding the meaning of a passage:

As-Sadaqāt (here it means Zakāt) are only for the Fuqarā' (poor), and Al-Masākīn (the poor) and those employed to collect (the funds); and for to attract the hearts of those who have been inclined (towards Islām); and to free the captives; and for those in debt; **and for Allāh's Cause (i.e. for Mujāhidīn - those fighting in the holy war)** and for the wayfarer (a traveller who is cut off from everything); a duty imposed by Allāh. And Allāh is All-Knower, All-Wise. According to The Noble Qur'an At-Taubah 9:60

As becoming common knowledge holy war means jihad, and this site makes sure not to disappoint as you read further down:

The people entitled to Zakaat are those places we can direct our Zakaat to. Allaah took charge of explaining for Himself what these places are, saying:

As here is one of their holy leaders explaining again the passage, as taken from Those Entitled to Receive Zakaat by Imam Muhammad bin Saalih Al-Uthaimeen from Fusool fis-Siyaam wat-Taraaweeh waz-Zakaat:

The charity (Zakaat) is only for the poor, the needy, those employed to collect (the Zakaat), those whose hearts will be inclined (towards Islaam, by giving them Zakaat), for slaves, for those in debt, **for (Jihaad in) the Cause of Allaah**, and for the wayfarer (i.e. destitute traveler). It is an obligation imposed by Allaah, and Allaah is the All-Knower, the All-Wise. [Surah At-Tawbah: 60] As cited by them.

And here is the detail in paragraph 7, with an attempt to soften the meaning, while, to me, only highlighting the bookkeeping



manipulations that they'll do to transfer the funds and assure they meet religious exemption--we must remember we're all infidels to them and there is no necessity of honesty to us, part of the 'The Great Satan' as an 'imperialist' deceiver:

**In the Cause of Allaah: This refers to Jihaad in the Cause of Allaah. So those who fight in Jihaad should be given a portion of the Zakaat that will suffice them for their Jihaad and enable them to buy the necessary tools for Jihaad in the Cause of Allaah.**

What also falls under 'the Cause of Allaah' is religious knowledge. So a student of Islamic knowledge should be given that which will enable him to seek knowledge, such as books and so on. This is unless he already has money of his own that will enable him to achieve that.

My example regarding my assertion in relation to this last paragraph is the Canada Free Press article regarding the finding of Al Qaeda members working with Saddam's Republican Guard to learn about using nerve gas, that we discovered after the invasion, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ILG6-qGJcIY&feature=related>. I contend that an argument could be made by Muslims that 'books on the use of sarin gas to effectuate jihad are books on Islamic knowledge.'

Now this Zakat (or 'Zakaat') is a mandated act according to this Imam as well, and the money cannot be used elsewhere:

These are the people who are entitled to receive Zakaat, the ones whom Allaah has mentioned in His Book and *informed us that this is an obligation that He mandated*, which stems from His knowledge and wisdom. And Allaah is All-Knowing, All-Wise.

**It is not permissible to direct the Zakaat to any other place, such as towards building masajid or fixing roads.** This is because Allaah has told us those who are entitled to receive the Zakaat for the purpose of limiting them to just those mentioned. So this limitation indicates that we are to negate all other potential recipients that do not fall under this limitation.

If we were to reflect on those individuals that we may give Zakaat to, we would come to realize that among them are those who are in need of the Zakaat for personal use as well as those who are in need of it for the Muslims generally. **So by this, we can see the extent of wisdom behind the requirement of Zakaat. And we would come to know that the wisdom behind Zakaat is to build a complete and upright society, as best as possible. And that Islaam does not disregard money or the benefits that can be generated from wealth, nor does it leave greedy and stingy souls to go about freely unchecked with their stinginess and vain desires.** On the contrary, it is the greatest guiding force towards the good and betterment of nations. And all praise is due to Allaah, Lord of the universe.

So the money Iran is spending on nuclear facilities, is for....the prohibited peaceful purposes or Jihad?

And again, the money being given for the mosque built next to where 3000 Americans died, is that money for the prohibited peaceful purposes or Jihad?

See if the money is being given pursuant to the Koran, then, it would appear these sums aren't for any other purpose but those stated here in this passage, and for the purposes therein, rendering any statements to us infidels, claiming the contrary, intentionally misleading, disingenuous, and void.



Is the Imam poor and this 100 million dollars for personal use? And, if it is not permissible to direct the Zakaat to any other place, such as towards building masaajid or fixing roads, then are we to believe the mosque is for a peaceful purpose, in light of all the poor in Muslim countries who such money should be going to if Allah is directing their will and all they do?

Doesn't this just smack of the stench of a non-religious purpose so long as these hundreds of millions are spent on building a Mosque in America, claiming a religious exemption by rhetoric at best, while the poor in their own countries continue to suffer?

But I am sure that the order or priority as listed by Allah is viewed entirely as a directive and that the Jihad is put to the highest priority, as that would make sense if the Muslims feel threatened, or such an overwhelming sense of power, that they believe their will is what must be manifest on this Earth now.

See, it's hard to tell what's true here isn't it? I mean, how do these so called Muslims, who claim to be building this Mosque in the name of Allah, and Religious Freedom, and building bridges, reconcile this focus when they have so many being oppressed in their own countries by the very same wealth they are wielding here in financing this building? Zakat, as a mandatory duty, would seem Allah's demand the priority of their Zakat must not be greedy and stingy souls to go about freely unchecked with their stinginess and vain desires, but as ambassadors of Allah's good will in their own nation, helping their own poor, in the greatest guiding force towards the good and betterment of nations as a priority over building a Mosque in America that will only add to the rolls of people they cannot take care of already, if following the Zakat.

But maybe because I am not a Muslim I don't understand the idea of letting my own people suffer while I pursue the vain desire to put up a Mosque near the Muslim Extremist created mass grave of the 911 victims, without apology, and demonstrating a certain regard for these dead Americans, of which some are Muslims, as infidels that apparently I extend to my own people in ignoring their needs in my own country. The vanity of the statement I must make in the name of Allah abroad, a sort of political act of jihad that will make me famous, is of far greater import than aiding the poor as Allah has directed according to the Zakat.

There's more but, for brevity, I found this one striking, located here <http://www.answering-islam.org/Quran/Tafsir/009.060.html>:

#### Money and Converts

In the Qur'an we read:

"Alms are for the poor and the needy, and those employed to administer the (funds); for those whose hearts have been (recently) reconciled (to Truth); for those in bondage and in debt; in the cause of God; and for the wayfarer: (thus is it) ordained by God, and God is full of knowledge and wisdom" [Surat at-Taubah 9:60; translation by Yusuf Ali]

The following is taken from the classical Muslim commentary on the Qur'an, Tafsir Ibn Kathir. The comment on Surah 9:60 states:

As for those whose hearts have been (recently) reconciled (to the Truth) they are in various divisions: some who were given (money) to convert to Islam, like when the Prophet Muhammad - Peace Be Upon Him - gave Safwan Bin Ummayah from the booty of the Battle of Hunayn, and he (Safwan Bin Ummayah) had fought in it as an infidel [Mushrik], ... Imam Ahmad said: Narrated Zakria bin Uddi, that Ibn Al-Mobarek said that Younis Al-Zahri from Saaid bin Al-Messyab from Safwan Bin Ummayah who said: "**The Messenger - Peace**



**Be Upon Him - gave me (money) on the Battle of Hunayn and he was one of the people I've hated most; he kept on giving me (money) until he became one of the people I've loved most.**"[\*] And some of them are given (money) to better their Islam and strengthen their faith in their hearts, like when he (Muhammad) gave on the Battle of Hunayn a group of the lowest of the released prisoners and the most noble of them one hundred camels each, and he **(Muhammad) said, "Giving a man and others (money) is lovable (by me) because I'm afraid that Allah would throw him (the infidel) on his face into the hell fire."** And in the Sahihayn (meaning Bukhari and Muslim) narrated Ibn Sa'eed, that Ali sent to the Prophet - Peace Be Upon Him - with a gold piece in it's soil from Yemen, so he (Muhammad) divided it between four people: Al-Aqra' Bin Al-Habis, Ayyinah Bin Badr, Alqamah Bin Oulathah, and Zayd Al-Khayr, and he (Muhammad) said, "Atta'alafuhum = I'm reconciling their hearts to the truth", some of them are given (money) to collect the charity from those close to them, **and some of them are given money to protect the Muslims from attacks at the corners of the countries.**

Can anyone see Chicago style patronage here being Blessed by Allah?

So then could the Muslim upbringing of Obama, during his formative years, have left him thinking that such wholesale purchase of others, using their needs against them as a leverage, is a righteous way to treat people because Allah did it? ([UPDATED LINK] See, Obama's 2008 Campaign website, [http://web.archive.org/web/20070308125213/http://www.barackobama.com/2007/03/06/obama\\_man\\_of\\_the\\_world.php](http://web.archive.org/web/20070308125213/http://www.barackobama.com/2007/03/06/obama_man_of_the_world.php))

Of course Jesus helped many people as well, but without leaving them to come to him again for more as though a dependent subject of materialism to whosoever is the highest bidder, and instead, Jesus lectured on teaching them how to fish, versus just throwing them fish.

This difference is the America way isn't it? And thus, this patronage basis of buying the people and their will, is Islamic, is anti-American, and explains the political value by redistribution of wealth for the sole interest of power in Progressivism, Chicago style, ala Barack Hussein Obama, and, may also explain why some Americans are considering violence as the answer, as, by Zakat, those who hate America are persistent in being ready for jihad at every opportunity, even turning the innocent's contribution in Zakat to blood money for the sake of vanity under the guise of Allah.

I am just glad this Barack Hussein Obama is bringing us all together and World Peace is at hand....Yeah, right!

Thank you for reading,

Toddy Littman